



RGVMPO FY2023-2024 TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES APPLICATION - Part A

For Projects in the [RGVMPO Metropolitan Area Boundary \(MAB\)](#)
(Cameron, Hidalgo, and Starr counties, Texas)

Project Sponsor Name		Date
Point-of-Contact Person		Title
Phone Number	Email Address	
TA Funding Request	Local Match	
Project Name		
Project Description (Scope of Work) Attach location and site maps, drawings, and photographs. <i>Here's a tutorial for creating and sharing a google map for guidance.</i>		
Project Length	Limits From	Limits To

1. Eligible Project Sponsor Category: Please select the applicable project sponsor.

2. Project Type: Please select the project type for this project. Check all that apply.

- Multiuse Path or Protect Bike Lane
- Bicycle/Pedestrian Signal or Crossing
- Safe Routes for Non-Drivers Travel Plan Traffic Calming
- On-Road Bicycle Improvements
- Sidewalk
- Historical Preservation of Transportation Facility
- Environmental Mitigation
- Safe Routes Promotional Activities
- Vegetation Management
- Removal of Outdoor Advertising
- Bike Parking
- Overlooks or Viewing Areas
- Recreational Trails
- Project is a plan/study for future construction.
- Project will consist of construct new infrastructure and replace some existing facility.
- Project is 100 new construction.
- Other:

A. PROJECT READINESS: Attach backup documentation and label first page as 'Exhibit A: Project Readiness'. If documentation is not provided it will be requested during review, prior to scoring, to verify eligibility of points.

3. Plans, Specifications, & Estimates Status

4. Environmental Clearance Status

5. Right of Way Status (please provide proof of property ownership or related agreements)

6. Railroad & Utility Status

B. SAFETY:

7. Non-motorized crash count/rate **[RGVMPO GIS Analysis]**
RGVMPO Staff will analyze the proposed project route to determine the non-motorized crash count/rate and eligible scoring points.
8. Proposed safety countermeasures
 - Lighting
 - Signage improvements
 - Pavement marking improvements.
 - Speed management
 - Curb extensions
 - Crosswalk visibility enhancements
 - Medians and/or refuge islands Rectangular
 - Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFB)
 - Other:
 - None
9. Proposed infrastructure elements
 - Separating bicycle and pedestrians from motorized traffic (excluding side paths with more than 10 crossings/driveways per mile)
 - Safe routes for non-drivers travel plan
 - Bicycle/Pedestrian Crossing
 - On-road bicycle facilities
 - Side path with less than 10 crossings/driveways per mile
 - Traffic Calming
 - Replacement/Rehabilitation
 - Other:
 - None
10. No Safety Improvement

C. EQUITY

The BIL added a provision to the TA Set-Aside requiring that the competitive process used by a State or MPO include prioritization of project location and impact in high-need areas as defined by the State, such as low-income, transit-dependent, rural, or other areas (23 U.S.C. 133(h)(4)(D)).

11. Project Area: Is project located in a High-Need area? (is it transit dependent, rural , low-income)

12. Population Impacted: What is the potential population impacted? **[RGVMPO GIS Analysis]**

RGVMPO staff will calculate the population residing within one mile of the project scope. If the project scope is part of a connected system, that population can be included with justification from the project sponsor.

- Avg. percent elderly
- Avg. percent disables
- Avg. percent zero car household
- Percentage living below the poverty line

13. Historically Disadvantaged Tract: Historically disadvantaged tract follows the Justice 40 initiative which includes certain qualifying census tract, tribal lands, or any territory possession of the U.S. with a poverty rate of at least 20 % as measured by the 2014-2018 5-year data series available from the American Community Survey of the Bureau of Census. Please [utilize this map](#) to answer.

D. CONNECTIVITY

14. Plan Consistency: Is the project consistent with regional and local plans? Provide documentation.

Consistent with state plans (Texas Bicycle Tourism Trails Study)

Consistent with regional plans (County-wide; Metro-area; or District-wide).

Consistent with local plans.

Inconsistent with plans.

15. Connects to existing multimodal transportation systems: Bike/Ped/Transit stops.

Intersects or expands on existing bicycle lanes, sharrows, trails.

Intersects or expands on existing sidewalks, ramps, bridges.

Proposed project is 0 to 0.5 mile to a transit stop or transfer center.

Does not connect to existing multimodal transportation systems.

16. Connects to public buildings, schools, and parks:

Proposed project is 0 to 0.5 miles from a public building (city hall, libraries, recreation centers, etc.).

Proposed project is 0 to 0.5 miles from a school (public, charter, higher education, etc.).

Proposed project is 0 to 0.5 miles from a park (local, state, or federal).

Does not connect to public buildings, schools, and parks.

17. Multi-jurisdictional connections or 10+ miles in length:

Connects across municipal (within city limits) *and* county jurisdictions (outside city limits).

Connects three or more municipalities/county limits.

Proposed project is 10 miles or more in length (spans across one city).

Does not connect to outside city limits.

Project Information Form- Part B

Project

CSJ: Pending Funding Award
 HWY: N/A
 Project Limits: _____
 County: _____
 Functionally Classified: N/A
 Project Estimate Total Amount: _____
 Let Date: _____
 Scope of Work: _____

 Letting by: LG PROJECT SPONSOR

Project Manager

TxDOT Project Manager (PM): TxDOT to Provide
 LG PM & Contact Information: _____

Sponsor

Local Government's (LGs) DUN #: _____
 Project Sponsor: _____
 Project Sponsor Address: _____
 City: _____
 County: _____
 State: _____
 Zip code (*****-****): _____
 Interlocal Agreement Required: _____
 Interlocal- Names of LGs involved: _____
 Interlocal Agreement Executed: _____
 Interlocal Agreement - Execution Date: _____

Description	Funding Source	Project Cost			Reimbursement to LG
	Category 9 or Local	LOCAL GOVERNMENT'S LATEST COST ESTIMATE (3)	Let Year	Federal 80% (4)	Yes or No (5)
PS&E (Preliminary Engineering)/Survey/Geo/ Pavement Design (1)					
Environmental					
Right of Way (ROW)					
Utilities					
Construction (2)					
Construction (local 100%)*					
Construction Engineering					
Direct State Cost (15% of total project cost):					
Project Total					

- (1) Usually for Category 9 Planning Funded Projects. Locally Funded for Construction projects
- (2) For Category 9 Construction Funded Projects. For further guidance, please use [TxDOT's Construction Cost Estimates Assistance Tool](#).
- (3) Please provide the total cost estimates for each phase of the project. Some of these will be \$0.
- (4) Only for the 80% Federal amount to be awarded to the project.
- (5) Only Category 9 Funds are reimbursable.
- * The Local Government is responsible for 100% of the construction costs exceeding the approved federal funding.

LG Name: _____
 LG Texas ID No. (TIN): _____
 District: _____
 Project Name: _____

Oversight Level Special Approval - Part C

(Local Government Agency management of project development process elements)

Local government (LG) agencies may manage elements of the project development process (environmental, right-of-way acquisition, utility relocation, design/bid document preparation, letting and award, and construction/project close-out) with written TxDOT approval. This approval is typically provided by language in the Advance Funding Agreement executed by TxDOT and the local government agency. Part A (pages 1-4) of this form is required to be completed by LG personnel.

Describe the following items for the proposed project or program	
Project limits, type of work and any significant elements	
Preliminary estimated project costs	
Anticipated funding sources	FHWA (category 9) _____ % TxDOT _____ % Local _____ %

LG proposes to manage the following activities for this project	To be performed by LG with its own staff?	To be performed by consultant under contract with LG?
Environmental		
Right-of-way acquisition		
Utility relocation		
Design and bid document preparation		
Letting and award		
Construction oversight, inspection, documentation and project close-out		
Other _____		
Other _____		

Describe LG's approach to performing the proposed management services for this project

In evaluating a LG request to manage elements of the project development process for projects on the State Highway System or which include TxDOT and/or FHWA funding, 43 TAC §15.52 requires TxDOT to consider six criteria. The LG is to provide information requested in Items 1 and 2 below.

1. Previous experience of the LG in performing the type of work proposed

<p>Attach an audited financial statement of Local Government Agency for most recent fiscal year.</p> <p>If TxDOT already has a copy of a Single Audit report or other audited financial statement for a recent year, insert the fiscal year in the box to the right (submittal of an additional audit is not required).</p>	
---	--

Please provide information on up to two similar, completed projects managed or performed by the LG in the past 5 years.

PROJECT A

Name of previously completed project				
Describe type of work				
Describe any complex items of work				
Construction cost	Estimated:		Actual:	
Letting date	Scheduled:		Actual:	
Contract time	Scheduled:		Actual:	
LG management activities performed by LG personnel				
LG management activities performed by consultants				
Name of current LG employee contact who worked on project			Phone #	
			Email	

PROJECT B

Name of previously completed project				
Describe type of work				
Describe any complex items of work				
Construction cost	Estimated:		Actual:	
Letting date	Scheduled:		Actual:	
Contract time	Scheduled:		Actual:	
LG management activities performed by LG personnel				
LG management activities performed by consultants				
Name of current LG employee contact who worked on project			Phone #	
			Email	

2. The capability of the LG to perform the type of work proposed or to award and manage a contract for that work in a timely manner, consistent with federal, state, and Department regulations, standards, and specifications

Please describe the LG's proposed personnel.

Name of person to serve in the position of Responsible Person in Charge		Position/Title	
---	--	----------------	--

- a. Must be full-time employee of LG;
- b. Must be able to administer project activities (cost, time, scope, adherence to contract requirements, construction quality, etc.);
- c. Must maintain familiarity with day-to-day project operations (including project safety);
- d. Must make or participate in decisions about change orders or supplemental agreements;
- e. Must visit and review the project regularly;
- f. Must review financial processes, transactions and documentation; and
- g. Must direct his/her project staff (agency or consultant) at all stages of the project.

Name of person to serve as Project Manager		Position/Title	
---	--	-----------------------	--

- a. Responsible for daily oversight of the project;
- b. Primary point of communication with TxDOT for day-to-day matters;
- c. May be same person as Responsible Person in Charge; and
- d. May be local government employee or consultant.

Project Manager's previous experience on projects of similar type, complexity and cost	
Project Manager's previous experience on TxDOT and/or FHWA-funded projects	

Name of person to serve in the position of Qualified Person		Position/Title	
--	--	-----------------------	--

- a. Must have completed TxDOT-required LGPP training prior to execution of AFA;
- b. May be same person as Responsible Person in Charge or Project Manager; and
- c. May be LG employee or consultant.

Qualified Person's previous experience on projects of similar type, complexity and cost	
Qualified Person's previous experience on TxDOT and/or FHWA-funded projects	

Information submitted by:

_____ LG representative signature

_____ Date

_____ LG representative printed name

_____ LG representative title